

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,685	07/31/2003	Tidhar Ziv	11884/403401	7660	
23838 7590 04/06/2007 KENYON & KENYON LLP			EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE	ET N.W.		LE, MIRANDA		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		2167	2167	
	·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/06/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·.	10/630,685	ZIV, TIDHAR
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Miranda Le	2167
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period va - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Dec 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20,35-53 and 56-68 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20, 35-53, 56-68 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · ·
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment, filed 12/19/06.
- 2. Claims 1-20, 35-53, 56-68 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, 35, 42 are independent claims. In the Amendment, claims 1, 11, 35, 42 have been amended. This action is made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-20, 35-47, 50, 51, 56, 57, 60-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al. (US Pub. No. 20030208493), in view of Hulse, Bruce et al. (US Pub. No. 20040122861).

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 1, 11, 35, Hall teaches a method for accessing data in a server-based business database system using an external program on a client system, the method comprising:

selecting a company object from a hierarchy of objects (i.e. XML, [0223], the "child" data object 56, [0076]) stored on the client system (i.e. assuming a client application 16 requests an object from the ObjSvr component 22, [0068]);

instantiating the company object on the client system as an instance of a company class conforming to a component object model standard to access data in the server-based business database system (i.e. The client applications 16 are any code entity that either instantiates an ObjSvr component 22 or makes use of an existing instance of an ObjSvr component 22, [0060]);

setting at least one property of said company object on the client system (i.e. instantiates an ObjectData class object and associated PropertyData class objects parallel to the structure represented by the ObjectDef and PropertyDef class objects, [0068]); and

thereafter invoking a connect method within said company object, said connect method opening a software connection to said business database (i.e. OpenDB(Connection as String, ExecutionMode as ExecutionModeEnum, Fig. 6A).

Hall does not specifically teach setting at least one property of the instantiated company object on the client system with data from the client system.

Hulse teaches setting at least one property of the instantiated company object on the client system with data from the client system (i.e. The object details page contains details of an automobile fender part. The page includes an action pulldown box with different actions "Delete" 102, "Check Out" 104, and "Revise" 106).

Art Unit: 2167

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art having the teaching of Hall and Hulse at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hall to include the limitations as taught by Hulse.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make this combination in order to specify associations between GUI elements and object data properties in view of Hulse ([0005]), as doing so would give the added benefit of creating a dynamic client architecture which can be used as the framework for applications written in multiple programming languages as taught by Hulse ([0005]).

As per claim 42, Hall teaches a computer system comprising:

a processing component ([0031-0037]);

a communication component coupled to said processing component ([0031-0037]);

a display component coupled to said processing component ([0031-0037]); and

an input device coupled to said processing component ([0031-0037]);

said processing component including a machine-readable medium having stored thereon a plurality of executable instruction to perform a method including:

selecting a company object from a hierarchy of objects (i.e. XML, [0223], the "child" data object 56, [0076]) stored on the computer system (i.e. assuming a client application 16 requests an object from the ObjSvr component 22, [0068]);

instantiating the company object on the client system as an instance of a company class conforming to a component object model standard to access data in the server-based business

Art Unit: 2167

database (i.e. The client applications 16 are any code entity that either instantiates an ObjSvr component 22 or makes use of an existing instance of an ObjSvr component 22, [0060]);

setting at least one property of said company object on the computer system (i.e. instantiates an ObjectData class object and associated PropertyData class objects parallel to the structure represented by the ObjectDef and PropertyDef class objects, [0068]); and

thereafter invoking a connect method within said company object, said connect method opening a software connection via communication component to said business database (i.e. OpenDB(Connection as String, ExecutionMode as ExecutionModeEnum, Fig. 6A).

Hall does not explicitly teach setting at least one property of the instantiated company object on the client system with data from the client system.

Hulse teaches setting at least one property of the instantiated company object on the client system with data from the client system (i.e. The object details page contains details of an automobile fender part. The page includes an action pulldown box with different actions "Delete" 102, "Check Out" 104, and "Revise" 106).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art having the teaching of Hall and Hulse at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hall to include the limitations as taught by Hulse.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make this combination in order to specify associations between GUI elements and object data properties in view of Hulse ([0005]), as doing so would give the added benefit of creating a dynamic client architecture which can be used as the framework for applications written in multiple programming languages as taught by Hulse ([0005]).

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 4, 14, Hall teaches said invoking a connect method within said company object, said connect method opening a software connection to said business database comprises connecting an external data warehouse management system to said business database (Fig. 6A, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]).

As per claim 5, 15, 36, Hall teaches accessing said business database using business objects from said company object (Fig. 6A, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]).

As per claim 6, 16, 37, Hall teaches said business objects expose a plurality of method for accessing said business database (Fig. 6A, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]).

As per claim 7, 17, 38, Hall teaches said accessing said business database using said business objects comprises updating said business database ([0137, 0148, 0157, 0160, 0163).

As per claim 8, 18, 39, Hall teaches said accessing said business database using said business objects comprises retrieving data from said business database (Figs. 6A-6H, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]).

As per claim 9, 19, 40, Hall teaches said accessing said business database using said business objects comprises manipulating data from said business database (Figs. 6A-6H, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]).

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 10, 20, 41, Hall teaches invoking a disconnect method within said company object to close said software connection to said business database (Figs. 6A-6H, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]).

As per claim 46, 50, 56, 60, Hall teaches said setting at least one property of said company object includes:

setting a server property of said company object to a server name containing said business database (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077);

setting a company property of said company object to the name of said business database (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077);

setting a user name property of said company object to the name of a user (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077);

setting a password property of said company object to a password of said user (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077); and

setting a language property of said company object to a desired language of said user.

As per claim 2, 12, Hall teaches said setting a server property of said company object to a server name comprises setting said server property to an input server name (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077).

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 3, 13, Hall teaches said setting a server property of said company object to a server name comprises setting said server property to a default server name from the company object, if the server property is not set (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077).

As per claim 43, Hall teaches said processing component comprises:

a processing unit ([0031-0037]); and

a bus coupled to said processing unit, said communication component, said display component and said input device component ([0031-0037]).

As per claim 44, Hall teaches said processing component further comprises: a random memory coupled to said processing unit via said bus.

As per claim 45, Hall teaches said processing component further comprises: a mass memory system coupled to said processing unit via said bus ([0031-0037]).

As per claim 47, 51, Hall teaches accessing said business database using business objects from said company object, wherein said business objects expose a plurality of method for accessing said business database (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077).

As per claim 57, Hall teaches means for accessing said business database using business objects from said company object (Fig. 6A, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]);

Art Unit: 2167

wherein said business objects expose a plurality of method for accessing said business database (Fig. 6A, [0048-0050, 0098, 0134, 0140]).

As per claim 61, 63, 65, 67, Hall teaches the company object is a highest object in the hierarchy of objects used to access the business database, all business objects used by the external program to access the business database exist as predefined component object model objects on the client system, and instances of the business objects are created on the client system via the company object (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077).

As per claim 62, 64, 66, 68, Hall teaches a data interface of the company object on the client system has a different structure than a data interface used by the business database, the method further comprising: accessing said business database using business objects from said company object (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077).

5. Claims 48, 49, 52, 53, 58, 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al. (US Pub. No. 20030208493), in view of Hulse, Bruce et al. (US Pub. No. 20040122861), and further in view of Srinivasan et al. (US Pub. No. 20040128400).

As per claim 48, 52, 58, Hall, Hulse do not fairly teach said company object is instantiated in a data interface application programming interface implemented on the client system as a dynamic link library.

Art Unit: 2167

However, Srinivasan teaches company object is instantiated in a data interface application programming interface implemented on the client system as a dynamic link library ([0026]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art having the teaching of Hall, Hulse, and Srinivasan at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Hall, and Hulse, to include company object is instantiated in a data interface application programming interface implemented on the client system as a dynamic link library as taught by Srinivasan.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make this combination in order to allow a user to use a utility program to generate a user-defined function that retrieves and imports network data from a particular network device to a commonly used application such as Microsoft Excel in view of Srinivasan, as doing so would give the added benefit of obtaining opportunities for sharing of data among businesses and individuals in computer networking environment as taught by Srinivasan ([0002]).

As per claim 49, 53, 59, Hall teaches accessing said business database using business objects from said company object, wherein said business objects expose a plurality of methods for accessing said business database (Figs. 6A-6H, [0041-0046, 0067-0077).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments regarding the amended claims emphasize that the setting step is performed upon the instantiated object, with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Miranda Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-4112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Cottingham, can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax number to this Art Unit is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Miranda Le

March 23, 2007

JOHN COTTINGHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100